UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,488	09/11/2006	Rodrigo Lopez-Sansalvador	27600U	8255
20529 THE NATH LA	7590 01/13/200 AW GROUP	9	EXAMINER	
112 South West Street			TRETTEL, MICHAEL	
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/589,488	LOPEZ-SANSALVADOR, RODRIGO				
omoc Aodon odminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Trettel	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se	eptember 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 7, 13, 14, 34-38, and 44 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can refer back to a preceding claim in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6, 8-12, 15-33, and 39-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims generally suffer from syntax and typographical errors and appear to be a direct translation from the Spanish language. The examiner notes the following errors present in claim 1 as an example:

there is no antecedent basis for "its lower portion" in line 2;

in line 5 it appears that the first "and" should be deleted;

in line 7 is appears that "crossing" should be changed to --extending--;

in lines 8 and 9 it is suggested that the phrase "to mount and rotate the stretchers which are rotationally mounted" be changed to --to rotationally mount the stretchers--;

Art Unit: 3673

in lines 11 to 12 there is no antecedent basis for "the longitudinal sides of the first stretcher";

in line 12 "such" should be changed to --said--.

The above is not meant to be a comprehensive listing of the errors present in all of the pending claims. It is suggested that all of the claims be revised for typographical errors and for syntax in response to this rejection.

Claim 20 contains the trademark/trade name Velcro. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a hook and loop fastener material and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koch (US 3,238,539). Koch shows a rotatable bed formed by a stationary bed frame with a head part 2, foot part 3, and side frames 4 connecting the head and foot parts. Vertical posts 2', 3' are spaced apart by transverse tubes 5, 5', with head and foot end pivots 20 being attached to the transverse tubes 5'. These pivots 20 form a rotational axis for a rotational frame formed by a pair of end frame members 21 attached by side frame tubes 19. Mattress halves 15, 15' form a lower mattress and are articulated to the side frame members 21 by arms 17, 18. A similar upper mattress is formed by mattress halves 16, 16' also articulated to the side frame members by arms 17, 18. Roller tracks 23 are attached to the transverse tubes 5' and support rollers 22 attached to the ends of the arms 17. This supports each mattress frame half as they are rotated around the longitudinal axis formed by the pivots 20. The side frame tubes act as side barriers for a patient placed between the upper and lower mattresses.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6, 8-12, 15-33, and 39-43 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sharps (US 2007/0113336), Jackson (US 7,343,635), Hand et al (US 6,701,553), Ruf (US 5,020,170), Hogan (US 3,757,129), Cunningham (US 2,188,592), Ward (US 1,990,357),

Brennan (US 4,937,901), and the UK application to Rogers (GB 2,039,731A) show rotating type

patient supports which are of particular interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Trettel whose telephone number is (571) 272-7052. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Trettel **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 3673

/Michael Trettel/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3673